ARTICLE (HTTPS://WWW.WTWCO.COM/EN-US/INSIGHTS/ALL-INSIGHTS#SORT=%40FDATE13762%20DESCENDING&F:@ARTICLEZ45XCONTENTZ45XTYPE=[ARTICLE])

Lessons in implementing board-level AI governance

Βv

John M. Bremen (https://www.wtwco.com/en-us/insights/all-insights#sort=%40fdate13762%20descending&f:@authors=[John%20M.%20Bremen])

| October 1, 2025

Effective leaders have shifted from traditional risk management protocols to more dynamic and responsible governance models for managing AI.

UNLOCK MORE

About the series

John Bremen is a guest contributor for Forbes (https://www.forbes.com/sites/johnbremen/?sh=16ede65b2490), writing on topics including work transformation, leadership strategy, compensation and benefits, and sustainable strategies that support productivity and business success.

Al governance continues to evolve in 2025 for both boards and senior management teams. Recent studies suggest many companies are not achieving the desired return on investment from Al projects, and many boards are devoting time to Al governance without achieving desired outcomes. Effective board members and leaders understand their role in safeguarding data, governing new technologies and ensuring the necessary skills in the boardroom and across the organization.

A widely circulated MIT study, The GenAl Divide: State of Al in Business in 2025 (https://www.artificialintelligence-news.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/08/ai_report_2025.pdf), reports that despite \$30 to \$40 billion in enterprise investment in Al, 95% of organizations are getting zero return. Gartner (https://www.gartner.com/en/articles/how-your-ceo-is-thinking-about-ai) reports that even with an average spend of \$1.9 million on generative Al (GenAl) initiatives last year, less than 30% of Al leaders report their CEOs are happy with Al investment returns.

OpenAl's Sam Altman sees an Al bubble (https://www.cnbc.com/2025/08/18/openai-sam-altman-warns-ai-market-is-in-a-bubble.html) forming as industry spending surges without commensurate gains in performance. Studies from Harvard (https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm? abstract_id=5425555) and Stamford (https://digitaleconomy.stanford.edu/publications/canaries-in-the-coal-mine/) show significant workforce implications.

According to the National Association of Corporate Directors (NACD) 2025 Trends and Priorities Survey (https://www.nacdonline.org/all-governance/governance-resources/directorship-magazine/online-exclusives/2025/q1-2025/nacd-survey-uncovers-2025-board-trends-and-areas-for-improvement/), three of the 10 top director trends for 2025 involve technology governance. Cybersecurity threats and AI remain at the center of directors' technology concerns. In WTW's most recent Emerging and Interconnected Risks Survey (https://www.wtwco.com/en-gb/insights/2024/11/emerging-and-interconnected-risks-survey-navigating-a-complex-risk-landscape), executives worldwide listed AI and cyber risk as the top two out of 752 emerging risks. Additionally, WTW's 2025 Directors' & Officers' Risk Survey (https://willistowerswatson.turtl.co/story/dando-survey-2025-gated/page/1) reports data loss and cyberattacks are both within the top three risks.

As we covered in How board-level Al governance is changing (https://www.wtwco.com/en-gb/insights/2025/04/how-board-level-ai-governance-is-changing), research (https://www.alexandria.unisg.ch/server/api/core/bitstreams/23d0f510-0939-4e02-bb94-70bf23d5a3b7/content) from professor and corporate director Dr. Helmuth Ludwig (https://www.smu.edu/cox/academics/faculty/helmuth-ludwig) and professor Dr. Benjamin van Giffen (https://www.linkedin.com/in/benvangiffen/) includes a four-category Al governance model. They recently updated their report with guidance and practices for boards on Al oversight using four pillars under an array of different scenarios, with input from NACD program manager for digital and cybersecurity governance content Dylan Sandlin (https://www.linkedin.com/in/dylan-sandlin-46672099/), board members Rima Qureshi (https://www.bt.com/about/bt/our-board/rima-qureshi) and Samantha Kappagoda (https://www.linkedin.com/in/samantha-kappagoda/), and staff from the Data & Trust Alliance. (https://www.thecge.net/institutes-initiatives/the-data-trust-alliance/)

4 pillars of AI governance

1. Strategic oversight: According to NACD's 2025 Public Company Board Practices and Oversight Survey (https://www.nacdonline.org/all-governance-governance-resources/governance-surveys/surveys-benchmarking/2025-public-company-board-practices--oversight-survey/), more than 62% of directors set aside agenda time to discuss Al. Yet while many directors note the potential for Al disruption to their company's strategy and long-term viability, only 23% of boards have assessed how it might happen. Effective boards recognize Al as a material strategic enabler and differentiator that influences an organization's competitive position and business model.

They adopt three practices:

- Develop a shared understanding of Al's strategic relevance and importance among the full board
- Establish a cadence for Al discussions and updates, regularly allocating agenda time for updates on the company's Al initiatives and related issues
- Incorporate AI as a topic at the board's annual strategy retreat, taking the opportunity for directors to receive a comprehensive view of the AI landscape and its impact on the company's strategy
- 2. **Capital allocation:** Many boards identify proper allocation of capital resources as one of the challenges their organizations faces in adopting AI technologies. Yet only 11% of boards have approved an annual budget for AI projects. Effective boards recognize AI has broad implications for business strategy and operations.

They adopt two practices:

- Include AI expenses in annual budgeting and approval, allowing the board to evaluate current investments and to determine whether
 more resources may be required to meet the organization's strategic objectives (this may include providing support as well as assistance
 for AI pilots, experiments and scaling)
- Regularly review the viability and opportunities to use mergers, acquisitions and partnerships to acquire AI capabilities. (This includes
 working with management to establish clear partnerships and evaluation criteria for assessing opportunities)
- 3. Al risks: Effective boards treat risk oversight not only as a board's core fiduciary responsibility but also as central to the responsible use of Al systems and maintaining trust among key stakeholders. They recognize that Al may help protect competitive advantage and can play a role in a company's potential for value creation or destruction.

They adopt two practices:

- Integrate AI into the company's enterprise risk management program through regular updates and reviews of the risks associated with AI, both through audit or risk committees and the full board. Effective management teams leverage existing risk assessment frameworks to evaluate AI risk in economic terms to better evaluate the most effective risk-mitigation actions and controls, sharing these reports with the board.
- Receive briefings from internal and external AI risk experts as a regular item on board agendas. Effective boards take a strategic
 approach in selecting experts and establishing briefing agendas, prioritizing material risks and maintaining their role as a governing body
 rather than a technical body
- 4. **Al technology competence:** Effective technology governance, including Al and data oversight, requires full board engagement with all directors maintaining at least a foundational knowledge of Al and its influence on the organization's particular needs. These boards also ensure the CEO, management team and workforce have the technological competence to execute the company's Al agenda.

They adopt four practices:

- Maintain board-level AI and technology proficiency aligned to corporate strategy and governance needs. They achieve AI proficiency through board structure, processes, education and access to expertise
- Establish authority and responsibility for AI within the organization. This includes clearly designating leaders for strategic AI
 implementation and ensuring a consistent and coherent decision-making model, both across functions and at the business-unit level,
 with processes for escalation
- Ensure management and workforce readiness for AI transformation through compensation and human capital committees. This often
 includes working with management to ensure that the pipeline for necessary talent and skills is in place as AI is further deployed
 throughout the company
- Incorporate Al oversight roles and responsibilities into board and committee charters, mitigating gaps and overlaps and more efficiently using committee focus, expertise and agenda time

Effective leaders have shifted from traditional risk management protocols to more dynamic and responsible governance models for managing Al's growth across industries and applications while adhering to their values. These leaders adopt principle-based governance practices that allow their organizations to benefit from AI technologies while reducing risks and increasing trust and accountability.

A version of this article originally appeared on Forbes (https://www.forbes.com/sites/johnbremen/2025/09/19/lessons-in-implementing-boardlevel-ai-governance/) on September 19, 2025.

Author



John M. Bremen (https://www.wtwco.com/en-us/insights/all-insights#sort=%40fdate13762%20descending&f:@authors= [John%20M.%20Bremen])

Managing Director and Chief Innovation and Acceleration Officer

Email (mailto:John.Bremen@wtwco.com)

Related capabilities

Artificial Intelligence

(https://www.wtwco.com/enus/insights/trendingtopics/artificialintellEnterprise Risk Management Consulting

(https://www.wtwco.com/enus/solutions/enterprise-Cybert Risk Management and Insurance

consulting)

(https://www.wtwco.com/enus/solutions/cyber-

man Exercutive Compensation and Board Advisory

and-

insurance)

(https://www.wtwco.com/enus/solutions/executive-

compensation)
Work Transformation

(https://www.wtwco.com/enus/solutions/worktransformation)

Related insights

See all insights → (/en-us/insights/all-insights)